

Chapter 6
HYDRODYNAMICS OF TIDAL INLETS

EM 1110-2-1100
(Part II)
30 April 2002

Table of Contents

	Page
II-6-1. Introduction to Inlet Hydrodynamic Processes	II-6-1
<i>a. Inlet functions</i>	II-6-1
<i>b. Inlet characteristics</i>	II-6-3
<i>c. Inlet variables</i>	II-6-3
<i>d. Inlet flow patterns</i>	II-6-4
II-6-2. Inlet Hydrodynamics	II-6-5
<i>a. Introduction</i>	II-6-5
<i>b. Inlet currents and tidal elevations</i>	II-6-7
<i>c. Tidal prism</i>	II-6-13
<i>d. Determining important inlet parameters</i>	II-6-19
(1) Cross-sectional area	II-6-19
(2) Bay area	II-6-21
(3) Friction factor	II-6-21
(4) Inlet length	II-6-22
(5) Hydraulic radius	II-6-23
<i>e. Evaluating inlet hydraulics with Keulegan K</i>	II-6-26
(1) Introduction	II-6-26
(2) Jarrett's classification	II-6-26
(a) Class I: Keulegan $K < 0.3$	II-6-26
(b) Class II: Keulegan $K > 0.80$	II-6-26
(c) Class III: $0.3 < K < 0.8$	II-6-26
<i>f. Effect of freshwater inflow</i>	II-6-26
<i>g. Bay superelevation</i>	II-6-29
<i>h. The inlet as a filter, flow dominance, and net effect on sedimentation processes</i>	II-6-32
(1) Introduction	II-6-32
(2) Tidal constituents	II-6-32
(3) Flow dominance	II-6-36
<i>i. Multiple inlets</i>	II-6-37
<i>j. Tidal jets</i>	II-6-37
<i>k. Tidal dispersion and mixing</i>	II-6-39
<i>l. Wave-current interaction</i>	II-6-39
(1) Wave-current interaction	II-6-40
(2) Current-channel interaction	II-6-43
<i>m. Other methods of inlet analysis</i>	II-6-43
(1) Automated Coastal Engineering System (ACES)	II-6-43
(2) DYNLET1	II-6-44
(3) Coastal Modeling System (CMS)	II-6-44
(a) WIFM	II-6-44
(b) CLHYD	II-6-46

(4) Other models	II-6-47
(5) Physical models	II-6-47
II-6-3. Hydrodynamic and Sediment Interaction at Tidal Inlets	II-6-47
<i>a. Introduction</i>	<i>II-6-47</i>
<i>b. Tidal prism - channel area relationship</i>	<i>II-6-47</i>
<i>c. Inlet stability analysis</i>	<i>II-6-49</i>
<i>d. Scour hole problems</i>	<i>II-6-53</i>
<i>e. Methods to predict channel shoaling</i>	<i>II-6-55</i>
(1) Introduction	II-6-55
(2) Procedure	II-6-56
(3) Phase I: Daily littoral materials transport volumes	II-6-56
(4) Phase II: Channel sedimentation	II-6-57
(5) Phase III: Regression analysis	II-6-58
(6) Normalized, independent filling index	II-6-58
(7) Ebb tidal energy flux	II-6-58
(8) Hoerls special function distribution	II-6-60
<i>f. Inlet weir jetty hydraulic and sediment interaction</i>	<i>II-6-60</i>
(1) Weir location	II-6-60
(2) Weir length	II-6-60
II-6-4. References	II-6-61
II-6-5. Definitions of Symbols	II-6-70
II-6-6. Acknowledgments	II-6-73

List of Tables

	Page
Table II-6-1. Hydraulic Characteristics of Tidal Inlets by Cubature Method	II-6-28
Table II-6-2. Semidiurnal and Shallow-Water Tidal Constituents and Harmonic Frequencies	II-6-36
Table II-6-3. Tidal Prism-Minimum Channel Cross-sectional Area Relationships	II-6-48
Table II-6-4. Inlet Stability Ratings	II-6-52

List of Figures

		Page
Figure II-6-1.	Typical structured and unstructured inlet	II-6-2
Figure II-6-2.	Typical ebb-tidal delta morphology (Hayes 1980)	II-6-4
Figure II-6-3.	Channel parameter measurement (Vincent and Corson 1980)	II-6-5
Figure II-6-4.	Ebb delta area measurement (Vincent and Corson 1980)	II-6-6
Figure II-6-5.	Minimum width cross-sectional area of channel A_{mw} versus channel length L_{mw} (Vincent and Corson 1980)	II-6-7
Figure II-6-6.	A_{mw} versus ebb-tidal delta area (AED) (Vincent and Corson 1980)	II-6-8
Figure II-6-7.	A_{mw} versus maximum channel depth at minimum width section DMX (Vincent and Corson 1980)	II-6-10
Figure II-6-8.	A_{mw} versus minimum controlling channel depth DCC (Vincent and Corson 1980)	II-6-11
Figure II-6-9.	Tidal prism-inlet area relationship	II-6-12
Figure II-6-10.	Schematic diagram of flood and ebb currents outside an inlet (O'Brien 1969)	II-6-13
Figure II-6-11.	Wave refraction pattern in the vicinity of Merrimack River Estuary entrance just south of the Merrimack Inlet (from Hayes (1971))	II-6-14
Figure II-6-12.	Ebb and flood flow patterns from a model study of Masonboro Inlet, North Carolina	II-6-15
Figure II-6-13.	Tidal current plus wave-generated currents approaching jettied inlet, measured in physical model study	II-6-16
Figure II-6-14.	Sediment transport gyres associated with both updrift and downdrift portions of the delta at Essex River Inlet, Massachusetts (Note different arrow types to denote wave or tide-generated sediment transportation (Smith 1991))	II-6-17
Figure II-6-15.	Inlet bay system	II-6-18
Figure II-6-16.	Variation of dimensionless parameters with Keulegan's repletion coefficient K	II-6-18
Figure II-6-17.	Sample tides and currents for an ocean-inlet-bay system that satisfy the Keulegan assumptions (Keulegan 1967)	II-6-19
Figure II-6-18.	Ratio of bay to sea tidal amplitude versus K_1 and K_2	II-6-20

Figure II-6-19.	Dimensionless maximum velocity versus K_1 and K_2	II-6-20
Figure II-6-20.	Bay tidal phase lag versus K_1 and K_2	II-6-21
Figure II-6-21.	Inlet flow net	II-6-22
Figure II-6-22.	Inlet impedance (F) versus the ratio of inlet length to inlet hydraulic radius to the 4/3 power ($l/R^{4/3}$) for various inlet width to inlet hydraulic radius ratios, W/R	II-6-23
Figure II-6-23.	Results from Example Problem II-6-1	II-6-25
Figure II-6-24.	Examples of hydraulic response of inlet and bay tide phasing and bay tide amplitude for various Keulegan K values	II-6-27
Figure II-6-25.	$a_{b\max}/a_o$ versus K for values of Q_r' (river discharge model)	II-6-29
Figure II-6-26.	$a_{b\min}/a_o$ versus K for values of Q_r' (river discharge model)	II-6-30
Figure II-6-27.	$u'_{max\epsilon}$ versus K for values of Q_r' (river discharge model)	II-6-31
Figure II-6-28.	u'_{maxf} versus K for values of Q_r' (river discharge model)	II-6-32
Figure II-6-29.	ϵ versus K for values of Q_r' (river discharge model)	II-6-33
Figure II-6-30.	Ratio of bay superelevation to ocean tide range as a function of the coefficient of repletion K	II-6-33
Figure II-6-31.	Relation between duration of outflow and bay superelevation	II-6-36
Figure II-6-32.	Plume expansion	II-6-38
Figure II-6-33.	Center-line velocity	II-6-38
Figure II-6-34.	Contours of dimensionless wave height factor R_H given by Equation II-6-29. Waves cannot propagate for values of F and Q which lie in the forbidden region (boundary line $F = FM$)	II-6-40
Figure II-6-35.	Refraction of currents by channel	II-6-44
Figure II-6-36.	Typical inlet flow nets	II-6-45
Figure II-6-37.	Typical inlet cross section	II-6-45
Figure II-6-38.	Sea and bay water elevations at inlet 1	II-6-46
Figure II-6-39.	Average velocity at minimum cross section	II-6-46
Figure II-6-40.	Variations in cross-sectional area for Wachapreague Inlet (Byrne, De Alteris, and Bullock 1974)	II-6-47

Figure II-6-41.	Tidal prism (P) versus jetty spacing (W)	II-6-49
Figure II-6-42.	Escoffier (1940) diagram, maximum velocity and equilibrium velocity versus inlet cross-sectional area	II-6-51
Figure II-6-43.	Another version of Escoffier (1977) diagram, maximum velocity and equilibrium velocity versus Keulegan repletion coefficient K	II-6-51
Figure II-6-44.	Examples of channel geographic instability	II-6-53
Figure II-6-45.	Little River Inlet geographical variability	II-6-54
Figure II-6-46.	Example Problem II-6-4. Plot of P versus A_c from the hydraulic response calculations and the stability equation	II-6-55
Figure II-6-47.	1988 and 1989 bathymetry of Moriches Inlet	II-6-56
Figure II-6-48.	Weir jetty flow patterns	II-6-57

Chapter II-6 Hydrodynamics of Tidal Inlets

II-6-1. Introduction to Inlet Hydrodynamic Processes

a. Inlet functions.

(1) Inlets provide both man and nature with a means of access between the ocean and a bay. Commercial and recreational vessels need a navigable channel for safe transit to interior harbors. The flow of currents into and out of a bay through an inlet provides natural flushing to maintain good water quality and reasonable salinity levels. The migration of fish, fish larvae, and other sea life through the inlet conduit is also an important function of an inlet. Successful engineering of inlets requires knowledge of water and sediment movement in and adjacent to the inlet.

(2) Hydrodynamic conditions at tidal inlets can vary from a relatively simple ebb-and-flood tidal system to a very complex one in which tide, wind stress, freshwater influx, and wind waves (4- to 25-s periods) have significant forcing effects on the system. Figure II-6-1 shows a structured and an unstructured inlet with waves breaking on a shallow ebb shoal nearly surrounding the inlet itself. Flow enters the bay (or lagoon) through a constricted entrance, which is a relatively deep notch (usually 4 to 20 m at the deepest point). Entrance occurs after flow has traversed over a shallow shoal region where the flow pattern may be very complex due to the combined interaction of the tidal-generated current, currents due to waves breaking on the shallow shoal areas, wind-stress currents, and currents approaching the inlet due to wave breaking on adjacent beaches. The inlet acts to interrupt longshore current, which either reinforces or interferes with tidal currents, dependent on the time in the tidal cycle. The longshore current also is variable, dependent on wave conditions. Particularly during stormy conditions with strong winds, flow patterns may be highly complex. Also, the complicated two-dimensional flow pattern is further confounded because currents transverse to the coast tend to influence the propagation of waves, in some cases blocking them and causing them to break. The inlet has a complex shoal pattern that would cause odd refraction patterns and breaking regions, even if tidal flows were weak. Final complications are structures such as jetties, which cause wave diffraction patterns and reflections.

(3) In inlets with large open bays and small tidal amplitudes, flows can be dominated by wind stress (Smith 1977). In such cases, ebb conditions can last for days when winds pile up water near the bay side of the inlet, or long floods can occur when winds force bay water away from the inlet. Most inlet bays, however, are small and some are highly vegetated, so wind stress is not a dominant feature, except under storm conditions (this chapter will emphasize tidal components of the system; Part II-5 provides approaches for considering storm surges and seiche). Though not forced by tides, inlets on large lakes may have significant currents due to seiching (Seelig and Sorensen 1977), and may be studied by the methods in this chapter. Although many bays do not receive much fresh water relative to the volume of tidal flow, substantial freshwater input due to river flow can sometimes create vertically stratified flows through a tidal inlet. Typically, however, well-mixed conditions exist for most inlets. Neither the effects of wind on the bay nor stratified flows will be examined in this chapter; however, the gross effect of freshwater flow on inlet hydraulics will be discussed.

This chapter also provides simplified methods for estimating tidal hydraulics. In general, the inlet problem requires complex, complicated analyses, and reference will be made to such techniques.